



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

The author has not only cited abundant authority, but has in most instances given a brief summary of the facts, and in the leading cases the pith of the judges' decisions. Though the arrangement of the book is not all that could be desired, it contains the law and is written by one who, by his familiarity with the work of the Post-Office Department, is best fitted to undertake such a work.

M. B. S.

THE LIFE OF JOHN MARSHALL. By HENRY FLANDERS, of the Philadelphia Bar, Author of "An Exposition of the Constitution of the United States," "The Lives and Times of the Chief Justices of the United States," etc. Pp. x+278. Philadelphia: T. & J. W. Johnson & Co., 1904.

This work was originally published as one of a series of "The Lives and Times of the Chief Justices of the United States," by the same author, and is now presented in separate form as a commentary on the life of "our greatest Chief Justice."

The subject is necessarily one of great interest, both because of the character of Chief Justice Marshall and the effect of his intellect on that most important and critical period in which he lived. Bryce, in his standard work on "The American Commonwealth," in speaking of the establishment and development of the constitution, observes: "But the most important work was that done during the first half century, and especially by Chief Justice Marshall during his long tenure of the presidency of the Supreme Court (1801-1835). It is scarcely an exaggeration to call him, as an eminent American jurist has done, a second maker of the constitution. I will not borrow the phrase which said of Augustus that he found Rome of brick and left it of marble, because Marshall's function was not to change but to develop. . . . Marshall was, of course, only one of seven judges, but his majestic intellect and the elevation of his character gave him such an ascendancy that he found himself only once in a minority on any constitutional question.¹ His work of building up and working out the constitution was accomplished not so much by the decisions he gave as by the judgments in which he expounded the principles of their decision, judgments which for their philosophical breath, the luminous exactness of their reasoning, and the fine political

¹ "In that case (*Ogden v. Sanders*), there was a bare majority against him, and professional opinion now approves the view which he took. When Marshall became Chief Justice only two decisions on Constitutional Law had been pronounced by the court. Between that time and his death fifty-one were given."

sense which pervades them, have never been surpassed, and rarely equalled, by the most famous jurists of modern Europe or of ancient Rome. . . . That admirable flexibility and capacity for growth which characterizes it beyond all other rigid or supreme constitutions is largely due to him, yet not more to his courage than to his caution."

Marshall's opinions were marked more by close and logical original reasoning than by application of established law. As the author says, "he was more familiar with principles than cases, and more 'knowing' than 'learned.'" He expounded principles with exceptional force and clearness, and laid down a comprehensive framework on which succeeding judges have largely built their opinions. The volumes containing the reports of his decisions are standard references on questions of constitutional law.

His life is a striking example of the superiority of clear reasoning and quick perception over a more minute knowledge of settled law. His knowledge of the law was very limited when he was admitted to the bar, but he more than made up the deficiency by a quick and sure detection of the point in controversy, and an almost intuitive recognition of the underlying principle of law involved. His participation in the debates in the Virginia Convention and his staunch championship of the constitution gave him a thorough insight into that instrument, and formed principles which he later enunciated with so much cogency and effect. It was Marshall who first conceived and applied the controlling doctrines now recognized in interpreting the constitution; briefly: 1. Every power alleged to be vested in the national government or any organ thereof must be affirmatively shown to have been granted. 2. When once the grant of a power by the people to the national government has been established, that power will be construed broadly.

The delineation of a character, as a biography necessarily is, when extended through a volume is very apt to produce repetition and degenerate into monotony devoid of any interest, but the author has to a great extent avoided this by including frequent and copious extracts from letters, opinions, documents, and excerpts from other sources which make the book more of a compilation than an original work. There is thus given expression the thoughts of many of Marshall's contemporaries, and the character of Marshall is so deep and strong, and his talents so varied, that the reading of the book can hardly fail to inspire emulation of many of his virtues.

The style is free and the thoughts connected, and the arrangement of the subject-matter is excellent. The author treats first, with a brief survey, of Marshall's ancestry, then of his birth and education, and then takes up his military career, his practice at

the bar, as a member of the Virginia Legislature, and of the Virginia Convention. He is shown next as a member of Congress, Secretary of State, and finally as Chief Justice. There is also an account of his participation in the revision of the Constitution of Virginia, and, finally, a view of Marshall in private life.

The treatment of topics thus follows as nearly as possible the chronological order, and gives one a connected view of each part of his career. The book possesses the merit of dealing with those important times when was born the strength which the Union now possesses, and throws light on one of the most important characters concerned in its development.

E. S. R.

THE AMERICAN LAW OF LANDLORD AND TENANT. By JOHN N. TAYLOR. Ninth Edition. Edited by HENRY F. BUSWELL. Two volumes. Boston: Little, Brown & Co., 1904.

The high standard of this work is maintained in this latest edition. While the general arrangement of subjects is similar to that of previous editions, many subjects are treated more fully than formerly or discussed in original paragraphs, among them being the principal covenants of the lessor and lessee; rights to fixtures, as between landlord and tenant; mining leases; rights of mortgagors and mortgagees under leases; railways and receivers as lessors and lessees, and the equity jurisdiction as applied to the relation of landlord and tenant.

About twelve hundred recent cases, chiefly from the Eastern states, have been inserted in the notes, but by the elimination of irrelevant and obsolete matter an increase in size of the volumes has been avoided.

The relative importance of each subject is generally observed in the discussion, except possibly in the treatment of the mutual liabilities of landlord and tenant for negligence, to which only fifteen pages are devoted.

M. G. R.